John A. Furlong, Bar No. 018356 General Counsel STATE BAR OF ARIZONA 4201 N. 24<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Phoenix, AZ 85016-6288 (602) 252-4804

26

### IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF ARIZONA

PETITION TO AMEND RULE 4(B)(5)(b), ARIZONA RULES OF PROTECTIVE ORDER PROCEDURE Supreme Court No. R-10-0017

Comment of the State Bar of Arizona Regarding Petition to Amend Rule 4(B)(5)(b), Arizona Rules of Protective Order Procedure

The State Bar of Arizona respectfully opposes the Petition to Amend Arizona Rule of Protective Order Procedure 4(B)(5)(b).

For the following reasons, discussed more fully below, the State Bar of Arizona does not believe that the proposed amendment (to require limited jurisdiction courts to transfer protective orders to the superior court when the protected party is the subject of custody, parenting time, or visitation orders) should be adopted.

The proposed rule change may significantly delay the ability of (1) the parent affected by the order to contest ex parte restrictions placed on his or her custody and/or parenting time rights due to administrative delay; and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

(2) Such a proposed change has the potential for creating unfairness to the opposing party.

### THE PROPOSED RULE SHOULD NOT BE ADOPTED

## A. The Proposed Rule Change May Significantly Delay the Ability of an Affected Parent to Contest *Ex Parte* Restrictions.

By removing the limited jurisdiction court's authority to hold a hearing on its own ex parte protective order affecting a party's custody and/or parenting time, the proposed rule change may significantly delay the ability of the parent affected by the order to contest ex parte restrictions placed on his or her custody and/or parenting time rights. This delay would most likely be due to the administrative delays that normally occur when there is a transfer of a matter from one court to another. Based upon the experience of the members of the Family Law Practice and Procedure Committee, it can take two to three weeks to process the administrative transfer of a case from a limited jurisdiction court to the superior court, especially in the larger counties. Accordingly, under the proposed rule change, a party whose custody or parenting time rights have been adversely affected by an ex parte protective order may have no ability to redress his or her lack of access to the minor child for quite some time.

# B. The Proposed Rule Could Create the Potential for Mischief and Cause Unfairness to the Opposing Party.

Because of the aforementioned delay that often results due to an administrative transfer, it is believed that the *immediate* transfer of a matter to

superior court could create the potential for mischief and cause unfairness to the opposing party due to the fact that the opposing party would no longer be able to immediately respond to the issuing court of limited jurisdiction. For example, a party could obtain a protective order on the eve of a holiday and, due to the immediate transfer rule, be faced with a delay of two to three weeks before receiving an opportunity to contest the order in the superior court. Allowing the matter to remain in the court of limited jurisdiction would more likely result in the avoidance of such mischief and unfairness, when on those rare occasions this may occur.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Thus, based upon the above-stated reasons, the State Bar respectfully opposes the Petition to Amend Rule 4(B)(5)(b) of the Arizona Rules of Protective Order Procedure.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this // day of May, 2010.

John A. Furlong
General Counsel

Electronic copy filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court of

Arizona this May day of May, 2010,

1	***************************************	
2		
3		
4	~~~~	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	***************************************	
12		
13		
14		
15		***************************************
16	***************************************	
17	***************************************	
18	***************************************	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	***************************************	***************************************

And a copy was mailed to:

The Honorable Elizabeth R. Finn Presiding Judge Glendale City Court 5711 West Glendale Glendale, Arizona 85301

By: Kathleen Lundzien